

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/890,505

Applicant(s)

LEON ET AL.

Examiner

William L. Miller

Art Unit

3677

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) William L. Miller.

(3) _____.

(2) Thomas Langer.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 July 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 24.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendment to claim 1 in the unentered response of 05-27-2004 was added to claim 1 and claim 24 was amended to depend from claim 1 to overcome the prior art of record and to pass the case to issue.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

No reply required.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

WILLIAM L. MILLER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required